On September 5, 1905, a massive three-day riot erupted in Tokyo protesting the disappointing terms of the peace treaty that ended the Russo-Japanese War. A decade earlier, after emerging victorious in the Sino-Japanese War, Japan had demanded and received a huge indemnity from defeated China. Although the war with Russia was far more costly in casualties and money, both sides were exhausted by 1905 and Japan was in no position to demand an indemnity from Russia. Because the Japanese public had been bombarded with reports of victory after victory, expectations of a profitable peace settlement were high and the outrage when this did not materialize was enormous.

Almost three-quarters of the police boxes throughout the capital city were destroyed, buildings and streetcars were torched, and some 450 policemen and 50 firemen were injured in addition to many of the protesters. The government responded by declaring martial law. It almost seemed as if the war had come home.

The anti-treaty riot—commonly called the Hibiya Riot after the park where the demonstrations began—marked the first major social protest of the age of “imperial democracy” in Japan that began with the promulgation of the Meiji constitution in 1890 and extended into the early 1930s.

This unit focuses in detail on the visual record of this spontaneous
anti-government demonstration as presented in The Tokyo Riot Graphic, an extraordinary special issue of an illustrated magazine that was published at the time and featured both photographs and artistic renderings of the unfolding violence.

Images in this unit, unless otherwise noted, are from a special edition of The Japanese Graphic called The Tokyo Riot Graphic, No. 66, Sept. 18, 1905

MAKING NEWS GRAPHIC

The founding father of illustrated newspapers and magazines worldwide was the Illustrated London News, first published in 1842 and immediately flattered by imitators throughout the West: the Leipziger Illustrierte Zeitung in Germany and L'illustration in France (both founded in 1843); and, a bit later, Harper's Monthly (1850) and Frank Leslie's Illustrated Newspaper (1855) in the United States. The owner and publisher of Tokyo Riot Graphic was Yano Fumio (1851–1931, also known by the pen name of Yano Ryūkei). Yano was a well known writer and political activist. He first encountered these publications, in particular the Illustrated London News and a more-recent British competitor simply titled The Graphic, while visiting England in 1884. We do not know which issues he read. But coverage of the British empire and its military engagements was a staple element of the graphic genre, and one which very likely caught his attention. Yano decided Japan both sorely lacked and very much needed publications of comparable content and quality, and he eventually undertook to address this need himself. [1]

Yano launched his venture with the title Oriental Graphic (Tōyō Gahō) in 1903. Later that year he renamed the magazine Recent Events Graphic (Kinji Gahō) and similarly named his publishing venture the Kinji Gahō Company. With the outbreak of the Russo-Japanese War in February 1904, Yano and his trusted chief editor, the well-known writer Kunikida Doppo, correctly decided that the public wanted a steady diet of wartime pictures and text. To signal their commitment to war news and images from cover to cover, with publication of the February 18 issue they re-titled the magazine The Wartime Graphic (Senji Gahō). Starting with the fourth issue under this new name (March 20, 1904), Yano and Kunikida added the English title The Japanese Graphic to the cover and English-language captions to the illustrations.

These naming innovations signaled the twin aims of offering a Japanese record of the war to an international audience and distinguishing theirs as the definitive record among several competing wartime graphic serials. Through October 1905, including nine special issues, Yano and Kunikida put out 68 numbers with this title, a rate of more than three issues per month with print runs of around 50,000 copies, before turning the magazine’s title back to the more generic Recent Events Graphic. The Russo-Japanese War not only provided the spark that set off the fire of imperial democratic protest. It brought into being the publications that captured and helped define this event in its own time and for posterity, and it published images of the war that shaped the visual record of the socially turbulent “peace” that followed.

As with the Illustrated London News and its followers in the West, the graphic genre in Japan included text in the form of articles on politics, war, and society, but it was most notable for its numerous illustrations. The earliest such periodicals in the West primarily featured drawings carved into wooden blocks through the technique of end-grain wood engraving. [2] Early photographs likewise had to be engraved into wooden blocks in order to be reproduced in newspapers or magazines. Over the course of the 19th century, new technologies (lithography and half-tone printing) allowed the transfer of photographs and hand-drawn illustrations to a printed page without need for hand engraving, although in both the West and Japan some artists and publishers continued to make use of woodblock prints. The Wartime Graphic, including the special riot issue, featured a wide array of image techniques: multi-color lithography (and in some cases possibly woodblock prints) for its striking covers, half-tone printing of both photographs and hand drawn art (primarily watercolors and pencil sketches), and woodblock prints inserted into narrative text.
The Wartime Graphic: selected covers

As one can see from this sampling, the covers of The Wartime Graphic are remarkable for the sophistication of the artwork and the variety of themes and moods. One of course finds some covers with a nationalistic and martial aspect, such as that of May 20, 1904 celebrating soldiers rushing into battle. But one also finds relatively calm scenes, such as that of the soldiers in the woods (December 20, 1904) and even some suggesting the Japanese are carriers of peace (through war), such as the cover showing a dove being released from a naval ship (July 10, 1904). Also noteworthy are scenes from the homefront, including a marvelous depiction of lanterns carried in a victory parade (July 1, 1905), and a cover highlighting the surging popularity of postcards as a new means of communication (March 10, 1905). The cover invoking the figure of the Sun Goddess (Dec 10, 1904) and that depicting US-Japan friendship (Aug 10, 1905) are also of interest. This cover appeared just as the peace-treaty negotiations were being concluded in Portsmouth, New Hampshire, and it specifically commemorated the visit to Japan of a major diplomatic mission led by the American secretary of war, William Howard Taft, and including President Roosevelt’s famous daughter, Alice.
While Yano and Kunikida were certainly pioneers of this genre in Japan, they were not in fact the first to produce illustrated newspapers or magazines in Japan along the lines of European or American predecessors. That honor belongs to the Fūzoku Gahō (Customs Graphic), founded in 1889. This fascinating publication featured illustrations on culture and customs of elites and ordinary folk alike. It was particularly concerned to contrast the daily life of the Japanese past with new modes of dress or deportment of Western origin. During the Sino-Japanese War (1894 to 1895) an illustrated monthly True Record of the Sino-Japanese War (Nisshin sensō jikki) was one of the first periodicals to feature significant numbers of half-tone photographs. The Customs Graphic also celebrated this war with a fancifully rendered battle scene on one of its covers, but it otherwise showed little concern for matters political; the True Record was published only once a month, with relatively stale photographs of ships and landscapes, or portraits of generals and admirals.

Compared to these earlier efforts, the graphic publications from the time of the Russo-Japanese War were unprecedented in the visual force of their illustrations as well as their popularity and circulation. Hiratsuka Atsushi, an assistant to Kunikida from the founding of Oriental Graphic in 1903, recalled in a 1908 memorial essay about the great writer that the magazine’s sales took off when it began to run illustrations sent by artists dispatched by Kunikida to Korea in early 1904. Hiratsuka gives literal meaning to the cliché “hot off the presses” as he describes the frenzy of efforts to meet reader demand: “On one occasion, the printer couldn’t keep up and it is even said that the [printing] machinery caught fire.” [3]

In addition to such publications modeled on Western periodicals, one found in 19th-century Japan a vibrant and evolving indigenous tradition of woodblock-print broadsheets. Combining image and text, and focused on newsworthy incidents, the earliest of these coincidentally began to appear around the same time as the earliest publications of illustrated news in the West. Called kawaraban and circulated in spite of prohibitions issued by the Tokugawa authorities, they flourished in the early-19th century. After the Meiji Restoration, a now legal—if closely monitored—genre of broadsheet prints soared in popularity, especially during the Satsuma rebellion. Known as nishiki-e, these were single-page sheets featuring a traditionally produced woodblock print in vibrant color and a short explanatory text, usually taken from a recent newspaper article.

The woodblock prints of the Sino-Japanese War analyzed by John Dower in Throwing Off Asia II were an outgrowth of these widely circulated “news” prints. At the time of the Sino-Japanese War, as Dower describes, they played a key role in conveying images and understandings of the war to people in Japan. But as Dower notes in Throwing Off Asia III, the situation was quite different a decade later. Although woodblock prints during the Russo-Japanese War did offer some powerful images, many of the prints merely imitated those of the Sino-Japanese War.
During Japan’s second imperialist war, the illustrated periodical press was a source of greater creative energy than individual prints, and it found its way to a far larger reading and viewing audience. Its repertoire of images owed a debt both to the illustrated genre of the modernizing West and to this evolving indigenous practice of woodblock prints of current events. Some of the new graphic publications of the Russo-Japanese War were short-lived commercial failures, but others, prominently including *The Japanese Graphic*, were both popular and profitable. They featured art work of high quality produced by some of Japan’s most talented young artists. Leading contributors to *The Japanese Graphic* during and after the war included Koyama Shōtarō, one of the most important early teachers of Western art in Japan, and the most promising students of his Fudōsha Academy.

Whereas 2,000 to 5,000 copies might have been made of a traditional woodblock print of this era, each issue of *The Japanese Graphic* (and its competitors) boasted print runs of 40,000 to 50,000 copies, offering from 20 to 50 photographs and illustrations of varied sizes and types per issue. In numbers and in visual impact, these illustrated publications were the most important sources to imprint the war and its aftermath in Japanese popular imagination. Particularly significant was the hand-drawn art, both watercolor paintings and woodblock sketches, better able than the photographs of that era to convey motion and mood. While the history of politics in imperial Japan, including the story of social protest, has been much studied by historians writing in English as well as in Japanese, the visual record produced by illustrated journalism offers insights not easily gained from textual sources into a number of themes. These images make it clear that imperialism was not simply a top-down imposition of the government, but a co-production with active participation by the commercial media and its customers. They also show that even as masses of people embraced the cause of empire, they added to it their own desire for democracy.

**The War at Home**

The Russo-Japanese War ended with a stalemate on the battlefield. Both sides were exhausted and depleted. Japanese forces suffered roughly 80,000 fatalities (over 20,000 of them from disease), compared to some 17,000 (almost 12,000 from disease) in the Sino-Japanese War a decade earlier. The total cost of the war in yen came to 1.7 billion yen, eight times the cost of the Sino-Japanese War. To pay these bills, the government had borrowed aggressively on the London bond market and had imposed all manner of new or increased taxes at home: sales taxes on cooking oil, sugar, salt, soy sauce, sake, tobacco, and wool; and a transportation tax that raised the cost of riding on the new streetcars of the capital by 33 percent. Faced with the prospect of even greater costs if the war continued, Japanese negotiators were willing to settle for less than they desired or had implicitly promised to the home-front populace.

In the peace treaty negotiated at Portsmouth, New Hampshire through the mediation of American president Theodore Roosevelt, Japan did win a free hand to dominate Korea as a protectorate, and it gained the upper hand in Southern Manchuria in the form of a leasehold that formed the basis for the Southern Manchuria Railway, protected by troops that developed into the Kwantung Army. It also took possession of the territory of southern Sakhalin. But it gained no reparations which might have offset the war’s cost. The leasehold was a form of imperialist encroachment, to be sure, but not an outright colony, and Sakhalin was a barren place of little strategic or economic value. In contrast, the Sino-Japanese War of a decade before had brought both a massive indemnity and full control of the island of Formosa (Taiwan), which became a Japanese colony.

It is not surprising in this context that a coalition of journalists, university professors, and politicians in the Japanese diet (parliament) who had vociferously supported the war now came together to protest the peace. They turned to the urban populace for support, calling a rally in Hibiya Park on September 5. The Tokyo police—an arm of the national government administered through the powerful Home Ministry—forbad the gathering. But a crowd estimated to number around 30,000 overran the barriers and rushed into the park. A brief rally ensued, about 30 minutes in all.
The politician Kōno Hironaka leads a crowd from Hibiya Park on a march to the Imperial Palace.

English caption  “The Great Disturbances in Tokyo  The upper picture—Mr. Kōno, ex-president of the House of Representatives, speaking at the anti-peace mass meeting of Tokyo citizens....”

[trg007a]

Later that day, a second large crowd gathered in front of the Shintomiza theater to hear speeches denouncing the treaty.

Illustration of a crowd listening to anti-treaty speeches.

English caption  “The Great Disturbances in Tokyo  Riotous scene seen on Sept. 5, near the Shintomiza theatre, where anti-peace lectures were to be given.”

[trg013]
As the attendees spilled out from the initial rally in the park, Kōno Hironaka, a popular and hawkish political veteran and head of the alliance which had organized the event, led a crowd of perhaps 2,000 on a march toward the imperial palace. Others in the crowd fought with police, and the violence began to spread. Groups of dozens or hundreds attacked police and government buildings, offices of a pro-government newspaper (Kokumin shinbun), and streetcars and the offices of the streetcar company.
These actions continued for three days, during which time Tokyo lacked any effective forces of order. By the time the riot ended, 17 people had been killed and 311 arrested in clashes with police or the military troops eventually sent to subdue the rioters. 70 percent of the city’s police boxes (small two-man substations located in neighborhoods through the city) were destroyed along with 15 streetcars. Smaller riots broke out in Yokohama and Kobe. Anti-treaty rallies took place nationwide. [4]

This outburst of riot and protest was the first of nine such incidents in Tokyo that took place through 1918 and were sparked by related discontents (see table, below). The illustrated record of the anti-treaty riot, discussed in the chapters to follow, teaches us much about the themes marking not only that event, but those that followed as well.

**Riots in Tokyo, 1905–18**

The following table gives a sense of the range of incidents and the overlap of issues, as well as the noteworthy overlap in the dates of these events and their location.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date:</th>
<th><strong>Main Issues:</strong></th>
<th><strong>Secondary Issues:</strong></th>
<th><strong>Site of Origin:</strong></th>
<th><strong>Description:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 5-7, 1905</td>
<td>Against treaty ending the Russo-Japanese War</td>
<td>Against clique government; for “constitutional government”</td>
<td>Hibiya Park</td>
<td>17 killed; 70 percent of police boxes, 15 streetcars destroyed; progovernment newspapers attacked; 311 arrested; violence in Kobe, Yokohama; rallies nationwide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 15-18, 1906</td>
<td>Against streetcar-fare increase</td>
<td>Against “unconstitutional” behavior of bureaucracy, Seiyūkai</td>
<td>Hibiya Park</td>
<td>Several dozen streetcars smashed; attacks on streetcar company offices; many arrested; increase revoked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 5-8, 1906</td>
<td>Against streetcar-fare increase</td>
<td>Against “unconstitutional” actions</td>
<td>Hibiya Park</td>
<td>113 arrested, scores injured; scores of streetcars damaged; police boxes destroyed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 11, 1908</td>
<td>Against tax increase</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hibiya Park</td>
<td>21 arrested; 11 streetcars stoned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 10, 1913</td>
<td>For constitutional government</td>
<td>Against clique government</td>
<td>Outside Diet</td>
<td>38 police boxes smashed; government newspapers attacked; several killed; 168 injured (110 police); 253 arrested; violence in Kobe, Osaka, Hiroshima, Kyoto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 7, 1913</td>
<td>For strong China policy</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hibiya Park</td>
<td>Police stoned; Foreign Ministry stormed; representatives enter Foreign Ministry to negotiate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 10-12, 1914</td>
<td>Against naval corruption For constitutional government</td>
<td>Against business tax For strong China policy</td>
<td>Outside Diet</td>
<td>Dietmen attacked; Diet, newspapers stormed; streetcars, police boxes smashed; 435 arrested; violence in Osaka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event Description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 11, 1918</td>
<td>For universal suffrage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 13-16, 1918</td>
<td>Against high rice prices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Against Terauchi Cabinet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hibiya Park</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rice seized; numerous stores smashed; 578 arrested; incidents nationwide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHALLENGING THE STATE

In 1873, Fukuzawa Yukichi observed in one of his most famous books, *An Outline of Civilization*, that “Japan has a government but no nation.” The Japanese people, that is, had no sense of themselves as *kokumin*. This was a neologism that Fukuzawa—the most important intellectual of his day and a brilliant wordsmith—defined in this text by inserting the phonetic Japanese pronunciation of “nation” (*mēshon*) alongside the characters for *kokumin*.

By the time of the Hibiya Riot, these once parochial or apolitical people, or their children, showed themselves to be active members of the nation and supporters of empire. They were anxious to voice their opinions on matters of foreign and domestic policy and insistent they be respected. Much of the apparatus of the modern nation had initially been imposed on them from on high, including mass compulsory education and a military draft in the 1870s. The Meiji constitution was written in secret and promulgated in 1889 as a gift of the emperor to his loyal subjects. The state promulgated a new civil code nationwide in the 1890s.

In all these steps, the balance between the obligations and the rights of the people tilted clearly toward the duties of subjects to be loyal to the state. Hibiya Park itself was designed and built by the government on a Western model at the turn of the century, with the understanding that modern cities required grand public spaces. It opened in 1903, just two years before the riot. It was first given extensive use during the Russo-Japanese War to celebrate war victories. The project of nation building was in these ways undertaken by the state with the intention of bringing into being a loyal body of *kokumin*, or people of the new nation. As Japan established itself as an imperial power in the decade spanning the turn of the 20th century, this project seemed to be working more or less as its elite architects had intended.

The irony made clear in the course of the riot was that the Meiji state if anything had succeeded too well. In matters political the people had views of their own, which they were more than willing to express in word and deed. They took various steps to appropriate public and imperial spaces, captured in some cases uniquely in the pages of *The Tokyo Riot Graphic*. In their anger at being excluded, the crowd asserted that Hibiya Park belonged to the people, not the state. This stance is dramatically rendered in a drawing of a stone-throwing melee at the entrance to the park “which followed in consequence of the attempt of the police to prevent the ingress of the crowd into Hibiya Park.” The perspective of this illustration—sketched from the side of what the caption writer in the Japanese language caption termed “Tokyo citizens” as they confronted the state’s forces or order—suggests that the artist supported the people’s claim to the park.
A stone-throwing crowd storms the gates of Hibiya Park, which had been blockaded by the police. The artist’s perspective suggests he supported the protestors’ assertion that such public space belonged to the people rather than to the state.

**English caption**  “The Great Disturbances in Tokyo ...riotous scene which followed in consequence of the attempt of the police to prevent the ingress of the crowd into Hibiya Park.”

Among the most unusual depictions of such acts of appropriation are two photographs of remarkably calm moments at key places during the three days of the riot. We can be thankful and impressed that the photographer found these scenes worthy of recording and that editor Kunikida found them worthy of publishing.

The first photo, as the English caption tells us, shows “citizens angling in Ichigaya moat, where it is usually prohibited.” The Ichigaya moat was (and to this day remains) a remnant of the outer moat surrounding what was once the shogun’s castle at the center of Edo, which by 1905 had for several decades been the imperial palace, home to the Meiji emperor. These anglers were on one level innocently pursuing their hobby in a convenient and suddenly available location. But many or most of them surely understood the moat’s special place in the city. They were staking an implicit claim to share in the use of normally forbidden imperial space.

The second photo shows “citizens sleeping on the turf in Hibiya Park,” a behavior not usually allowed according to the Japanese language caption. While napping or reading, were they not also extending a claim on behalf of ordinary people to occupy public space at the city’s center? Over time, this claim took root. In September 1913 on the occasion of a much smaller riot, also provoked by perceived weakness in Japanese foreign policy (this time toward China), the *Asahi* newspaper noted that “Hibiya Park is by now synonymous with the people’s rally.” [5]
Peaceful Subversion

These photographs of crowds fishing and reading or napping were subtly subversive, for the scenes depicted ran against the law. The anglers were wetting their lines in the moat of the imperial palace, which abutted Hibiya Park, and lounging on park grounds was prohibited.

English caption  “Tokyo Without Police  For some days after Sept. 5, Tokyo was practically without police. The upper picture—citizens angling at Ichigaya moat, where it is usually prohibited. The lower picture—citizens sleeping on the turf at Hibiya Park.”

[trg010]
Much more explicitly, one of the woodcut prints which accompanied Yano Ryūkei’s narrative account of the riot reveals a popular conception of shared sovereignty presented to the crowd with considerable effort and cost. Although police closed the park to the anti-treaty protesters, organizers of the rally nonetheless managed to raise large banners with hot air balloons in the vicinity of their planned event. The banner on the right read—in a difficult four character Chinese-style slogan—that “in tears we protest what the emperor’s advisors have done.” The other banner pledged in Japanese prose that “we hold the sword of rectification.”

Here we see the rally organizers putting forward a vision in which the wishes of the emperor and the people were assumed to mesh, and be obstructed by his wrong-headed advisors. The duty of the people was to rectify the situation by enforcing this shared will of people and ruler. Testimony at the trial of those arrested for rioting also speaks of four-character banners carried by protesters, and describes as well the scene where the crowd led by Kōno Hironaka sought to carry black-trimmed flags toward the imperial palace, in essence offering condolences to the emperor for the bad policies of his officials. A policeman tried to stop them. They threw stones and beat him, shouting “this is not something the police should restrict.” [6]

These actions—and their depiction—anticipated by over a decade the concept famously articulated by the political thinker Yoshino Sakuzō that in Japan sovereignty was rooted in both the monarch and the people (minponshugi). Like the ministers they criticized, people in the crowd supported empire and emperor. These commitments had been fostered from above through schools and through public rituals honoring the emperor, such as the reading aloud of the imperial rescript on education in school ceremonies. But the protesters not only disagreed with government policies. They claimed both duty and the right to challenge the state bureaucracy. They made Hibiya Park a symbol of their freedom to gather and express the shared will of the people and the monarch, which a legitimate government should respect.
IDENTIFYING THE “PEOPLE”

Who were these rioters or protestors? The visual record of The Tokyo Riot Graphic not only confirms what we learn from other sources. In two important ways relating to class and to gender, it extends our understanding. Arrest and trial records show the participants, or at least those targeted by the police and the prosecutor, to be quite diverse in occupation and social class.

### Occupations of People Arrested or tried in Tokyo Riots, 1905–18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation:</th>
<th>Incidents, 1905 &amp; Sept. 1906:</th>
<th>Incidents, Feb 1913 &amp; Feb 1914:</th>
<th>Incidents, 1918:</th>
<th>In 1908 occupational census:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Merchant/tradesman</td>
<td>91 (28%)</td>
<td>64 (30%)</td>
<td>60 (24%)</td>
<td>(41%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artisan</td>
<td>82 (25%)</td>
<td>27 (13%)</td>
<td>22 (9%)</td>
<td>(7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor labor/ building trades</td>
<td>28 (9%)</td>
<td>13 (6%)</td>
<td>47 (19%)</td>
<td>(6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport/rickshaw</td>
<td>29 (9%)</td>
<td>10 (5%)</td>
<td>7 (3%)</td>
<td>(11%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factory labor</td>
<td>44 (14%)</td>
<td>16 (8%)</td>
<td>53 (21%)</td>
<td>(14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>10 (3%)</td>
<td>41 (19%)</td>
<td>10 (4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional/white collar</td>
<td>13 (4%)</td>
<td>8 (4%)</td>
<td>28 (11%)</td>
<td>(12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>20 (6%)</td>
<td>7 (3%)</td>
<td>13 (5%)</td>
<td>(1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>10 (3%)</td>
<td>7 (3%)</td>
<td>13 (5%)</td>
<td>(10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals:</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>712,215</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Andrew Gordon, Labor and Imperial Democracy in Prewar Japan (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1991)

The only social group significantly under-represented relative to the city’s population among those arrested was the professional class of bureaucrats, doctors, lawyers, journalists, and managers, a category which encompasses the very people who organized the gatherings that ended in riot. Wage labor, broadly defined, was a major element in the crowd, with artisans a leading component in the 1905 (and again in 1906) riots, and factory labor more prominent by 1918. All told, the class composition of the crowd—at least of those arrested—was heterogeneous. Participants came from a broad range of lower- and some middle-class urbanites: masters, artisans, and apprentices, shopkeepers and their employees, factory workers, outdoor laborers, transport workers, and students.
We see this variety confirmed in photos and illustrations from *The Tokyo Riot Graphic*. In the vicinity of the park on the day of the rally, the camera captured people ranging from gentlemen in relatively formal Japanese dress, a few in Western dress, and working class men in the traditional *happi* coat. These jackets were decorated with characters indicating an employer or sponsor and worn by rickshaw pullers and day laborers among others.

Crowds at the September 5 protests came from a broad range of workers and middle-class urbanites.

English caption: “The Great Disturbances in Tokyo (1) Scene of the anti-peace mass meeting of Tokyo citizens held at Hibiya Park on September 5. (2) Crowd of demonstrators, who fought with policemen in front of the park's main gate. (3) Policemen. (4) Railing destroyed by rioters, through the opening thus created they surged into the park. (Photographs taken on Sept. 5 at 1 p.m.)”

The arrest records for the 1905 riot included only a few men identified as “office worker” (10 of 273, about four percent). This suggests that men of substance and status, although they led the organizations which called for rallies and spoke at those rallies, did not take major part in the violence which followed. The visual record suggests this was not necessarily the case. It gives relative prominence to men of professional, Westernized appearance. An illustration showing a crowd attacking the offices of the Tokyo Street Railway Company, for example, depicts men in Western dress, including a man in a jacket and necktie in the middle ground who appears to be urging on the crowd.
Rioters attack the office of the Tokyo Street Railway Company.

English caption: “The Great Disturbances in Tokyo. The picture represents the disorderly scene which was seen at the extensive space at the north-eastern corner of Hibiya Park. The burning building is a branch office of the Tokyo Street Railway Co.”
Another illustration, showing crowds leaping from a stone wall to escape the police, likewise includes a finely dressed gentleman fleeing as fast as he can.

Pursued by sword-wielding policemen, a crowd that had been watching the burning of streetcars on the night of September 6 leaps in panic from a wall at the edge of Hibiya Park. The protesters wear both Japanese and Western-style clothing.

English caption: “The Great Disturbances in Tokyo. Panic-stricken crowd flying for their lives pursued by policemen with drawn swords. They were watching the burning of electric cars on the night of Sept. 6, standing on the elevated ground at the north-eastern corner of Hibiya Park. In their fright, many persons jumped or were thrown into the pond below.”

The pages of *The Tokyo Riot Graphic* also suggest that one group—the women of the capital—although completely absent from the documentary trail of police and trial proceedings was nonetheless engaged with the issues of the day and present at rallies and even among the nighttime crowds watching the riotous action. It is important to note that taking part in these events was illegal for women even if they simply stood and watched. The 1889 “Law on Assembly and Political Societies” and the 1900 “Public Order Police Law” barred women from all forms of political life—not only voting, but joining political organizations, speaking at political meetings, and even attending such meetings.

Once in a while, it seems, they violated these laws and got away with it. A rare example was recorded by the *Asahi* on September 8, 1913, describing the rallies and the anti-government riot of the previous day sparked by a perceived weak response to the murder of a Japanese man in Nanjing. The formally scheduled rally took place in the center of Hibiya Park, but part of the overflow crowd converged on the bandstand at the park’s edge, creating an impromptu second site for speeches. Suddenly, Ōno Umeyo, a believer in the Tenri religion and the 19-year-old eldest daughter of Ōno Shūsuke of the village of Tsukitate, Kurihara County, Miyagi Prefecture, ascended the bandstand. She wore a tight-sleeved summer kimono with a purple-blue skirt and had a hisashigami hair style. The crowd cheered and hooted: ‘Fantastic! Hurrah! A new woman!’ and so forth. She raised her voice: ‘Truly it is the duty of the Taishō woman to save our comrades in China.’ With her eloquent words she cut a brilliant figure.” [7]
The documentary record of the 1905 riot offers no written reference to any such female participation, but The Tokyo Riot Graphic’s illustrations indicate that women were informed and involved. Illustrating Yano’s account of the buildup to the rally is a woodcut of a vignette inside a streetcar. The fare collector is passing a row of riders. The caption reads “Distribution of Tens of Thousands of Leaflets Calling for a People’s Rally. Sept. 4.” Front and center among a representative cross section of Tokyoites in age, dress, and social type is a young woman closely reading the leaflet.

Similarly, the banner across the cover of this special issue includes a young woman among the six people marching at an angle suggesting determination and perhaps haste. With Japanese flags in hand, these folks may be marching toward a rally site, or marching in one of the earlier wartime victory celebrations. The woman is dressed in the *hakama* skirt popular among the young women fortunate to attend one of Japan’s relatively few Girls Higher Schools at the time. Educated women in this artist’s conception were one element of the public engaged in the momentous events of the day.

The stylized banner on the cover of The Tokyo Riot Graphic depicts individuals including a female student marching in haste to a rally. The military flags signify that they support the nation’s victory in the Russo-Japanese War, even while deploiring the terms of the peace settlement.
More subtly, some of the artistic illustrations in *The Tokyo Riot Graphic* also include women in the animated crowd scenes, where it requires careful scrutiny to single them out.

**Women in the Politicized Crowds**

Although women were prohibited by law from engaging in political activities, they appear in some of the dramatic artistic renderings of crowds involved in the Hibiya Riot.

*A kimono-clad woman in the crowd listening to an orator on the balcony of the Shintomiza theater on September 5.*

[trg013]
How reliable are these illustrations as a record of the day’s events? In contrast to the purported battlefield drawings and woodblock prints of both the Sino- and Russo-Japanese Wars, usually rendered from imagination at some distance from the battle front even when the artists were traveling with the troops, the illustrators of The Tokyo Riot Graphic observed the action first hand at close quarters. The offices of the Kinji Gahō were located in the center of Tokyo. Simply standing on the second floor balcony, it was possible for Kunikida and his colleagues, as they recalled, to “see fires here and there, flames rising from 15 or 16 different spots.” [8] (This is captured in the subtle color cover of the special issue.)

Even so, it is course the case that both photographs and drawings reflect choices of photographers and artists. The camera can mislead by omission. A photo editor can do so by cropping. Photographers can ask people to pose or to stage events. But a riot is not a time to easily stage pictures. Although we can never know whose picture was not taken or who was cropped out, we can be reasonably sure those who were captured in the photographer’s lens were present much as we see them.
In the case of hand-drawn illustrations, surely there was some exaggeration for dramatic effect in the depictions of crowds attacking buildings or fleeing the police. But we know from their own accounts that the Fudōsha artists were taught to value careful observation and accurate rendition. In the recollection of one student, the school’s founder, Koyama Shōtarō, enjoined him to "strive to render objects without an iota of difference, as if you had become a camera." [9] Another student recalls "exhaustive" practice of pencil sketching, with a slightly different emphasis. Koyama told him to “look closely at nature, and draw more carefully, striving not so much for the minute detail of a photograph but for the simplicity that distills the essence of a scene based on careful observation and accurate rendition.” [10] Koyama perhaps tailored his emphasis to the particular needs of each student, but if the Tokyo Riot Graphic artists followed either version of his advice, they are hardly likely to have inserted figures of pure imagination into the scenes of riot as they pursued photographic accuracy or distilled the mood and rendered the essence of these events.
DEMOCRACY & THE CROWD

It is the wide range of loosely connected issues and targets going well beyond simple nationalism and support of empire which justifies seeing in the Hibiya Riots the germination of a drive to realize democracy as well as empire. The illustrations help us see this. Given that the peace treaty was negotiated on the Japanese side in Portsmouth by the foreign minister, Komura Jutarō, the government naturally feared attacks on the foreign ministry. After martial law was declared on September 6, army troops were stationed to guard possible targets, including Foreign Minister Komura’s official residence.

Yet neither the ministry itself, nor the minister’s residence, was subject to a major attack. Rather, and at first glance quite illogically, it was the official residence of the minister of home affairs which became the most important government office to be stormed by a massive angry crowd during the riot.
Located literally across the street from Hibiya Park, the home minister’s residence was certainly a convenient target. But the foreign ministry itself was only one block more distant. A crowd said to number “tens of thousands” made the home minister’s official residence an object of particular fury not simply for its proximity, but rather because this minister stood at the apex of the state agency most responsible for restricting the activities of the people. These restrictions were manifest in the formally political senses of suppressing freedom of assembly and freedom of expression. The nation’s police, including those in Tokyo who had banned the rally, were an arm of the home ministry, and the ministry oversaw censorship of the press. The ministry’s presence, and that of its police force, was also felt in a more general intrusion into people’s daily lives. Yoshikawa Morikuni, one of Japan’s first generation of socialists active in the early-20th century, witnessed what he described as an aged “rickshaw-puller type” ask one of the rioters to “by all means burn the Ochanomizu police box for me, because it is giving me trouble all the time about my household register.” [11]

As an agency within the home ministry, the police were thus logical targets of the crowd whether for their role in banning assemblies or for bothering people about their more ordinary obligations to the state. They were also easy targets. Modeled on the Paris police of the late-19th century, Tokyo’s police (and the police around the country as well) were dispersed throughout the city in so-called “police boxes” (kōban), small stations typically manned by two officers, with a desk in a front room open to the street for easy observation and communication with residents, and a back room for sleeping. When officers cultivated trust with the neighborhood, the system of ubiquitous boxes provided effective community policing. But when trust broke down, the scattered police boxes were defenseless in the face of attacks by large crowds. The rioters destroyed nearly three quarters of all the boxes in the city. The Tokyo Riot Graphic prominently placed a dramatic illustration of one such attack on its inside cover page, along with photographs of two demolished boxes.
Attacking the Police

The police suffered around 450 casualties in the Hibiya Riot, and symbols of their authoritarian power became major targets of the crowd’s wrath. Several police stations were set on fire, and close to three quarters of the small two-man “police boxes” scattered throughout metropolitan Tokyo were demolished.
English caption “The Great Disturbances in Tokyo. Scene of a police-box being set fire to by rioters.”

[trg004 detail]
With others, editor Kunikida saw this destruction as portentous. As he gazed at fires burning around the city center from the roof of the building that housed the editorial offices, he is reported to have mused to a colleague that “I wonder if a revolution has actually started?” [12] Kunikida selected illustrations to convey this volatile and violent situation to readers which figuratively brought the war back home; their composition and feel drew on artistic tropes already developed in illustrations sent back from the war zone by the Graphic’s artists over the previous 18 months.

Other targets during this and the later riots provoked enmity for their role in support of the bureaucratic state and its allies in the political parties. These included pro-government newspapers as well as politicians and their parties. The politician targeted most often was Hara Takashi, the home minister at the time of the Hibiya Riot, and his Seiyūkai Party was a repeated target of popular fury as well.

One further target was the city’s streetcars and the company that ran them, attacked in the 1905 riot and two smaller incidents the following year (one on the anniversary of the Hibiya Riot) for both economic and political reasons. The streetcars were relatively new, having begun service in 1903, the same year that Hibiya Park opened. They were expensive for ordinary Tokyoites. They threatened the livelihood of the city’s many thousands of rickshaw pullers, who were numerous among the rioters and those arrested. Streetcar passengers had been subject to the transport tax to finance the war, at the rate of one sen per ride added to the base fare of three sen. And rumors abounded that the service was initiated thanks to a sweet deal struck among Home Minister Hara, members of his party in the Tokyo city council, and the streetcar company itself. All three parties were criticized for having profited at the expense of ordinary people.

In addition to targeting the symbols of police authority, the crowd also vented its anger against streetcars and the company that ran them. It was widely believed that fares were too high and the company had profited from the war.

English caption “The Great Disturbances in Tokyo Electric cars burning on the night of Sept. 6”
In sum, these ferocious and lavishly illustrated attacks were not random. Nor were their targets directly connected to the issue of empire at the political forefront of the day. The crowd targeted those seen to suppress people’s freedom of assembly and expression, as well as those seen to impose economic hardship and be shadily connected to the home ministry and the political allies of its leader. Attacks on the home minister, his police, and the streetcars evinced a populist and democratic, if also a violent, spirit.

The War at Home

The Tokyo Riot Graphic concludes with a lengthy written account of the protest by Yano Ryūkei, the co-editor, interspersed with dramatic line drawings. The wide mass audience that the magazine was targeting can be seen in the inclusion of phonetic syllables (furigana) next to every single ideograph. This made it possible for people with even the most rudimentary education to understand what was written about how the patriotic war with Russia had abruptly turned into a populist “war at home.”
An enlarged detail of the text (right) shows the phonetic notations next to every ideographic character, which enabled readers at all levels of education to pronounce and thus recognize every word.
The pages of *The Tokyo Riot Graphic* did not present a detached and disinterested record of events. This publication was typical of the press of this era both in using popular interest in the riot (or war) to extend its commercial reach and in presenting images that implicitly approved and celebrated such acts of protest, arguably helping to incite future actions.

The inside-front and back covers of this special issue are filled with ads for other newly launched ventures of the Kinji Gahō Company. Small- to medium-sized ads pushed sets of “postcards of beautiful women” or the writings of Kunikida and Yano. A larger ad promoted *The Lady’s Graphic (Fujin Gahō)*, a magazine founded just two months earlier (and still published today).

Advertisements inside the front and back covers of the special riot issue promote a variety of other periodicals published by the same company.

Inside-back cover
with an ad for The Lady’s Graphic
(marked in red)

[trg039]
The Tokyo Riot Graphic also ran a prominent advertisement for the inaugural issues of two graphic magazines respectively aimed at educationally ambitious boys and girls (or their parents): Graphic Knowledge for Youth (Shōnen Chishiki Gahō) and Graphic Knowledge for Young Girls (Shōjo Chishiki Gahō). The ad promised stories on “Japanese, Chinese, and Western history” as well as information on flora and fauna of the natural world. The two publications were merged in 1906. It is not clear how long this venture survived, but the genre of illustrated educational magazines for children has enjoyed a long and profitable life through the present.

In choosing this moment to launch magazines for women, young boys, and young girls, Yano and Kunikida clearly expected the booming popularity of The Wartime Graphic would help them reach new audiences.

The editors of The Tokyo Riot Graphic explicitly referenced the new role of print media in promoting modern political awareness and action on the one hand, even as they evoked traditional customs on the other hand. A good example of the former is the woodcut of streetcar passengers reading a leaflet announcing the anti-peace-treaty rally.

*Illustrations such as the depiction of streetcar passengers reading leaflets announcing a rally subtly promoted political activism by both men and women.*

The adroit tapping of tradition can be seen in an illustration of anguished rioters carrying a victim on a wooden door repurposed as a stretcher, a graphic that appears to simultaneously celebrate the riot and lament the harm people suffered in its course. The Japanese caption to this illustration uses a folk religious metaphor, likening the scene complete with shouts of “wasshoi, wasshoi” to Shinto festivals where crowds of celebrants carried portable shrines through the streets with this same chant.
In less flamboyant images as well the magazine seems to be sensationalizing and exciting interest in the event, while of course furthering sales, by evoking intrigue, mystery, and scandalous personal behavior. A woodcut on the concluding page of Yano’s narrative asks in its caption “Who is this? At the head of a group smashing a police station is seen this man scooting away in a two-puller rickshaw (night of the 5th).” A sense of mystery is conjured as well by a darkly shaded illustration of what the caption calls the “awe-inspiring scene” on the night of September 5 of the “entirely deserted” Ginza district, normally “the most flourishing quarter of the city.” As with the images of riot, the dark tones of this illustration carry forward the style developed by these artists in their depictions of the war in earlier issues of the magazine. These illustrations also evoke the contemporaneous prints of Kiyochika as well as the brooding feel of some of Hiroshige’s famous early-19th-century landscapes.
Mystery and intrigue are conveyed in graphics like the above, depicting rickshaw pullers rushing a mysterious figure away from rioters destroying a police box, and the eerily deserted Ginza district, emptied of its usual nighttime pleasure seekers.

English caption “The Great Disturbances in Tokyo. On the night of September 5 when the disturbances took place, the Street of Ginza, the most flourishing quarter of the city, presented an awe-inspiring scene. The street was entirely deserted, except by a few men stopping to talk in a low tone, and some policemen with drawn swords walking under dimly-lighted eaves.”

The magazine evoked scandal with an opaquely described photograph of a modest home, described only as the “residence of Mme Okoi, who has become notorious in connection with the recent disturbances.” Who was this woman? Readers who had been following the personal life of Prime Minister Katsura as told in full-page newspaper stories in the days leading up to the riot would immediately recognize the name. Okoi was a Tokyo geisha who began a relationship with Katsura in the early days of the war.

Scandal entered the Hibiya Riot picture in the form of gossip about a geisha who was well known to be the mistress of the prime minister.

“Residence of Mme Okoi,” image 3 from full-page spread (page 26)
The Meiji oligarchs were famous for their womanizing ways, and in particular their patronage of geisha, but Katsura’s timing made this a particularly scandalous connection. He installed Okoi as his mistress in this residence in Akasaka in June 1905, just three months before the riot. As difficult peace negotiations dragged on over the summer, he made Okoi his frequent companion not only at her own residence but also at the prime minister’s official residence, where he spent most of his time. She thus found herself in the public eye, and the subject of sensational newspaper accounts that lambasted Katsura while describing their relationship in detail.

As the late Kuroiwa Hisako details in her fascinating recent study of the riot, most readers of such stories would have decided that Katsura was acting outrageously by taking up with such a woman during a national crisis. And Okoi herself became an object of great curiosity. She incited fury in some quarters, sympathy in others. Her most eloquent defender in the aftermath of the riot was the well-known writer Kinoshita Naoe, one of the era’s tiny but vociferous band of anti-war socialists. But during the riots crowds gathered and threatened to attack her home; she and her servants went into hiding.

In the 1920s Okoi told her side of the story in an autobiography, probably ghost written, which was initially serialized in the Asahi newspaper. Women were thus present not only among the crowds at rallies and riots; as sexual companions to Japan’s rulers, they were also collateral targets, objects of scorn and celebrity. One can see Okoi as a new sort of heroine, or anti-heroine, of an emerging mass culture. Although women of the demimonde had been celebrated in the popular culture of the Edo era (in woodblock prints especially), they were rarely if ever connected in this public fashion to political scandal.

**A Civilized Empire**

At first glance, the cover for *The Tokyo Riot Graphic* is puzzling. The banner across the top depicts Tokyoites marching in orderly, if determined, fashion. These men and one woman could just as easily have been en route to a pro-government wartime victory rally as to an anti-government postwar anti-treaty rally.
The large central image depicts a scene viewed from what appears to be the rooftop of a building, with its green parapet in the foreground. The viewer looks out upon an urban landscape of warehouses, factories and smokestacks, with what might be office or government buildings in the background (one with a flag atop it).

The pale slivers rising from among the buildings suggest plumes of smoke. At first glance, one assumes the smoke is rising from the city’s factories. But much more likely, it is rising from the fires set by the rioters. These plumes are not actually attached to the smoke stacks; this scene is probably the view from the roof of the publisher’s office, the perch from which Kunikida and his colleagues reportedly saw “fires here and there, flames rising from 15 or 16 different spots.” [14] At most, then, the center of the magazine’s cover offers only a very indirect representation of the tumult in the city. The only direct representation of the riot on this cover is found in shadowy form on the lower edges where darkly-drawn policemen are chasing rioters. How should we understand this cover art?

I see it in part as a statement that the anti-treaty riot is taking place in a proudly industrialized and civilized nation, one which has taken its place as a world power and emerging empire. One finds scattered traces of this sentiment elsewhere in the magazine as well. The ad for The Lady’s Graphic lists six reasons to read the next issue. The first calls on women to “Look at this magazine if you want to know what women do in countries that win wars,” while another inducement is “Ten Illustrations of our Ally’s Monarchy” (no doubt a reference to the Anglo-Japanese alliance). The text of the ad for the new Graphic Knowledge magazines similarly and baldly promises to bring Japan’s youth to the level of these same places: “we will teach the children of our nation those things that the boys and girls of Europe and America learn as they grow up.”

But probably the oddest photograph in the entire magazine offers the best evidence of the desire to achieve, and the anxiety at failing to sustain, Japan’s hard won place in the ranks of civilized nations. At the center of this scene of a none-too-elegant Tokyo street stands a none-too-elegantly hand-written poster. It leans against a telephone or telegraph pole. Just behind is a fire hydrant. The English caption calls this a “notice posted at various places in Tokyo concerning the purchase of rats.” The Japanese caption offers a bit more detail, explaining that “one sees this sort of poster in places all over the city explaining that due to the destruction of police boxes, the purchase of rats has been suspended.”
Notice announces that “due to the destruction of police boxes, the purchase of rats has been suspended.”

English caption “Tokyo Under Martial Law ...(4) Notice posted at various places in Tokyo concerning the purchase of rats. (Photographs taken on the morning of Sept. 7)”

Readers a century later may well find even this longer caption a puzzle, but those at the time would have understood easily. As part of the project of public health and hygiene initiated by the Meiji government nationwide—and later in the colonies—the police encouraged city dwellers to catch rats, understood by modern medicine to spread dangerous disease. As incentive, authorities offered a modest payment for each animal delivered.

The Tokyo Riot Graphic choose to include in its pages (the same page as the pictures showing police guarding the residence of Mme Okoi and the private and public residences of her lover, the prime minister!) this reminder that one project to make Japan’s capital a safe and civilized place was at least temporarily on hold. It would be up to readers to decide if the blame for this setback on the path toward civilization and world recognition rested on destructive crowds for their outburst, or on the authorities for a weak foreign policy which incited riot by betraying popular hopes for a prouder place among the nations and empires of the world. Most seem to have drawn the latter conclusion. Their actions initiated an era of continuing protest on behalf of imperial democracy.

Aftermaths

The anti-treaty riots subsided after three days (and after the imposition of martial law). An uneasy calm settled over the capital. But within six months, further acts of public protest and political violence took place in Tokyo. First from March 15 to 18, 1906 and then from September 5 through the 8th—the precise anniversary of the anti-treaty riot—protest against a proposed increase in streetcar fares began with rallies in Hibiya Park and ended with the smashing of dozens of streetcars and attacks on streetcar offices, and, in the more widespread violence of September, the destruction of police boxes, dozens of injuries, and 113 arrests. The March riots were covered in some detail in the April 1 issue of Kinji Gahō (in October 1905, with the end of the war and the battle over the terms of peace, The Wartime Graphic [Senji Gahō] reverted to this original title).
Kinji Gahō here illustrates what its English caption calls “The Agitation in Tokyo against the Proposed Advance of the Street Electric Car Fare. On Mar. 15th a group of the agitators led by the members of the Japan Socialists headed to the head office of the Street Railway Car Co. office and there ensued some excited scene.” The involvement of the Japan’s first, and soon suppressed, socialist party foreshadows the emergence of a more formally organized social movement centered on the working class in the following decades.
In addition to its continued chronicling and depicting of urban protests, the postwar Kinji Gahō extended its interest in social problems by venturing outside the city. Its February 1, 1906 issue, with a striking cover showing two crows or vultures hovering over three gaunt farm-dwellers, was a "Northern Japan (Tōhoku) Famine Number." The issue featured detailed coverage of the consequences of the extremely poor harvest of the previous summer, which resulted from heavy rains and cold temperatures.

In the face of declining sales and significant operating losses, Yano Fumio decided to cease publication of Kinji Gahō later in 1906. Clearly issues of war and empire sold more copies than did topics of peacetime, whether those of famine and protest or scandal and celebrity. But in the following years, one continues to find in press reports occasional items which possibly served to incite protest even as the publishers offered the plausible deniability that they were cautioning against mischief. On the eve of a rally on February 11, 1908 to protest an increase in taxes, the following leaflet was both circulated in the thousands, and then printed in full in the Tokyo Mainichi Shinbun, one of the city’s major daily papers.

**ANTI-TAX INCREASE**
**PEOPLE’S RALLY**
**Hibiya Park, February 11, 1908**

Admonitions to Attendees:

1. Do not bring any dangerous weapons
2. Do not prepare oil, matches, or clubs
3. Do not fight with the police
4. Do not smash any police boxes
5. Do not smash any streetcars
6. Do not throw stones at the Diet building
7. Do not attack pro-tax or Seiyūkai M.P.’s

The style of this flyer is that of the ubiquitous Edo-era injunctions to townspeople or villagers, typically mounted on a post and planted in the ground for all to see and respect. It is noteworthy that the event was called for February 11. This was the national holiday known as kigensetsu, a date calculated by the Meiji state to represent the moment nearly 2600 years earlier when the (mythical) first emperor Jimmu ascended the throne, thus founding the nation and the imperial line. It is noteworthy that four of the nine riots in Tokyo between 1905 and 1918 took place on this date or the previous day. As a holiday, the date was convenient for rallies, but this holiday was
further meaningful for connecting the people and the throne. The handbill teased the authorities even as it protected its issuers by advising against the actions most common in the riots of these years, while enumerating them in needlessly explicit detail. And by printing the flyer’s contents in full, the newspaper as well was safely complicit in reminding readers what they should not, but might indeed, undertake. In the event, a modest riot did take place in the wake of the rally, in which 11 streetcars were stoned and 21 people arrested.

The similar illustrations, the identical rally location, identical actions and targets, and the repetitive dates of these various protests make the case for viewing them as related incidents rather than spontaneous or random outbursts. In the decade following the 1905 anti-treaty riot, organizers and crowds were quite clearly acting in a sequel to a political theater first staged in that September. The celebration of the 1905 events in the illustrated press played its part in preparing the ground for these repeated movements of protest.
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