

In-Class Problems Week 8, Mon.

Problem 1.

For each of the binary relations below, state whether it is a strict partial order, a weak partial order, an equivalence relation, or none of these. If it is a partial order, state whether it is a linear order. If it is none, indicate which of the axioms for partial-order and equivalence relations it violates.

- (a) The superset relation \supseteq on the power set $\text{pow}\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$.
- (b) The relation between any two nonnegative integers a and b such that $a \equiv b \pmod{8}$.
- (c) The relation between propositional formulas G and H such that $[G \text{ IMPLIES } H]$ is valid.
- (d) The relation between propositional formulas G and H such that $[G \text{ IFF } H]$ is valid.
- (e) The relation ‘beats’ on Rock, Paper, and Scissors (for those who don’t know the game Rock, Paper, Scissors, Rock beats Scissors, Scissors beats Paper, and Paper beats Rock).
- (f) The empty relation on the set of real numbers.
- (g) The identity relation on the set of integers.
- (h) The divisibility relation on the integers, \mathbb{Z} .

Problem 2.

The proper subset relation, \subset , defines a strict partial order on the subsets of $[1..6]$, that is, on $\text{pow}([1..6])$.

- (a) What is the size of a maximal chain in this partial order? Describe one.
- (b) Describe the largest antichain you can find in this partial order.
- (c) What are the maximal and minimal elements? Are they maximum and minimum?
- (d) Answer the previous part for the \subset partial order on the set $\text{pow}[1..6] - \emptyset$.

Problem 3.

Let S be a sequence of n different numbers. A *subsequence* of S is a sequence that can be obtained by deleting elements of S .

For example, if

$$S = (6, 4, 7, 9, 1, 2, 5, 3, 8)$$

Then 647 and 7253 are both subsequences of S (for readability, we have dropped the parentheses and commas in sequences, so 647 abbreviates $(6, 4, 7)$, for example).

An *increasing subsequence* of S is a subsequence of whose successive elements get larger. For example, 1238 is an increasing subsequence of S . Decreasing subsequences are defined similarly; 641 is a decreasing subsequence of S .



- (a)** List all the maximum-length increasing subsequences of S , and all the maximum-length decreasing subsequences.

Now let A be the *set* of numbers in S . (So A is the integers $[1..9]$ for the example above.) There are two straightforward linear orders for A . The first is numerical order where A is ordered by the $<$ relation. The second is to order the elements by which comes first in S ; call this order $<_S$. So for the example above, we would have

$$6 <_S 4 <_S 7 <_S 9 <_S 1 <_S 2 <_S 5 <_S 3 <_S 8$$

Let \prec be the product relation of the linear orders $<_S$ and $<$. That is, \prec is defined by the rule

$$a \prec a' ::= a < a' \text{ AND } a <_S a'.$$

So \prec is a partial order on A (Section 9.9 in the course textbook).

- (b)** Draw a diagram of the partial order, \prec , on A . What are the maximal and minimal elements?
(c) Explain the connection between increasing and decreasing subsequences of S , and chains and anti-chains under \prec .
(d) Prove that every sequence, S , of length n has an increasing subsequence of length greater than \sqrt{n} or a decreasing subsequence of length at least \sqrt{n} .

Problem 4.

For any total function $f : A \rightarrow B$ define a relation \equiv_f by the rule:

$$a \equiv_f a' \text{ iff } f(a) = f(a'). \quad (1)$$

- (a)** Observe (and sketch a proof) that \equiv_f is an equivalence relation on A .

- (b)** Prove that every equivalence relation, R , on a set, A , is equal to \equiv_f for the function $f : A \rightarrow \text{pow}(A)$ defined as

$$f(a) ::= \{a' \in A \mid a R a'\}.$$

That is, $f(a) = R(a)$.

MIT OpenCourseWare
<https://ocw.mit.edu>

6.042J / 18.062J Mathematics for Computer Science

Spring 2015

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <https://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.